

## Estimation of the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam constant of $SO(3)$

Ikumitsu NAGASAKI <sup>1)</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $SO(3)$  be the the special orthogonal group in dimension 3. We shall compute the fixed point dimensions of the irreducible  $SO(3)$ -representations. As an application, we shall provide a new estimate of the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam constant of  $SO(3)$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $V, W$  orthogonal  $G$ -representations. A  $G$ -map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is called  $G$ -isovariant map if  $G_x = G_{f(x)}$  for all  $x \in V$ , where  $G_x$  denotes the isotropy subgroup of  $x \in V$ . In other words, a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f$  is a  $G$ -map being injective on each orbit  $G(x)$  of  $x$ .

A fundamental question is the following:

**Question.** When does there exist a  $G$ -isovariant map between orthogonal  $G$ -representations?

Concerning this question, Wasserman [11] shows the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem when  $G$  is solvable. Namely, for any solvable compact Lie group, if there exists a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f : V \rightarrow W$ , then

$$\dim V - \dim V^G \leq \dim W - \dim W^G$$

holds. We say that  $G$  is a *Borsuk-Ulam group* if the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem holds for  $G$ . Several Borsuk-Ulam groups are known. For example, the following are Borsuk-Ulam groups.

- Solvable groups (Wasserman [11]).
- $A_n, S_n$  for  $n \leq 27$  (Wasserman [11] for  $n \leq 11$ , Sumi [9] and [10] for  $12 \leq n \leq 27$ ).
- $PSL(2, q)$  (Nagasaki-Ushitaki [7] ),  $PSL(3, q)$ ,  $PSU(3, q)$  (Sumi [10]).

---

<sup>1)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, 1-5 Shimogamohangicho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-0823, Japan. e-mail: nagasaki@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp

- All sporadic finite simple groups (Sumi [9]).

However a complete solution is not known and there are no examples of connected compact Lie groups other than  $n$ -tori so far. We conjecture that  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  is a Borsuk-Ulam group, but there is no proof at present.

In order to approach this conjecture, we recall the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam constant  $c_G$  which was first introduced in [5].

**Definition** ([5]). The number  $c_G$  is the supremum of  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with the following property:

$$c(\dim V - \dim V^G) \leq \dim W - \dim W^G$$

holds for any pair  $(V, W)$  with a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f : V \rightarrow W$ .

Clearly,  $0 \leq c_G \leq 1$  and  $G$  is a Borsuk-Ulam group if and only if  $c_G = 1$ . We provided some estimates of  $c_G$  for connected compact Lie groups in the previous papers [3], [4], [5] and [6]. In particular, we showed  $c_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \geq 4/5$ . In this article, we shall give a better estimate of  $c_{\mathrm{SO}(3)}$ . The main result is

**Theorem 1.1.**  $c_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \geq 12/13$ .

## 2. A Key result

First we remark that it is sufficient that representations in consideration are unitary as discussed in [5]. Therefore, in the following, we assume that  $G$ -representations are unitary. Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of a compact Lie group  $G$ . We set

$$d_{(G,H)} = \sup_U \frac{\dim U^H}{\dim U}$$

where  $U$  is taken over nontrivial irreducible  $G$ -representations. In order to estimate  $c_G$ , we use the following result.

**Proposition 2.1** ([4], [5]). *If  $H$  is a Borsuk-Ulam group, then*

$$c_G \geq 1 - d_{(G,H)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of conjugacy classes  $(H)$  of closed subgroups of  $G$  with  $c_H = 1$ . Set

$$d(\mathcal{B}) = \inf_{(H) \in \mathcal{B}} d_{(G,H)}.$$

If closed subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  are conjugate in  $G$ , then  $\dim U^H = \dim U^K$  and  $d(G, H) = d(G, K)$ . Therefore  $d(\mathcal{B})$  is well-defined. By Proposition 2.1, we have

**Corollary 2.2.** *With the above notation,  $c_G \geq 1 - d(\mathcal{B})$ .*

Let  $G$  be  $\text{SO}(3)$ . Then the representatives of conjugacy classes of proper closed subgroups are given by the following list, see [2], [12].

- $C_n$ : cyclic group of order  $n \geq 1$ .
- $D_n$ : dihedral group of order  $2n \geq 2$ .
- $T \cong A_4$ : tetrahedral group.
- $O \cong S_4$ : octahedral group.
- $I \cong A_5$ : icosahedral group.
- $\text{SO}(2) \cong S^1$ : special orthogonal group, which is a maximal torus.
- $\text{O}(2)$ : orthogonal group, which is the normalizer of  $\text{SO}(2)$ .

Note that every proper closed subgroup of  $\text{SO}(3)$  is a Borsuk-Ulam group. In fact,  $I \cong A_5$  satisfies the prime condition in [11], and so  $I$  is a Borsuk-Ulam group. The other groups are solvable groups, hence, Borsuk-Ulam groups. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of conjugacy classes of proper closed subgroups of  $\text{SO}(3)$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of maximal conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is easily seen that

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(O), (I), (\text{O}(2))\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *With the above notation,*

- (1)  $d(\mathcal{B}) = d(\mathcal{M})$ .
- (2)  $c_{\text{SO}(3)} \geq 1 - d(\mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof.* (1) For any  $(H) \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists an element  $(K) \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $(K) \geq (H)$ , where  $K = O, I$  or  $\text{O}(2)$ . Therefore,  $\dim U^H \geq \dim U^K$  and so  $d_{(G,H)} \geq d_{(G,K)}$ . This implies that  $d(\mathcal{B}) \geq d(\mathcal{M})$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{B} \supset \mathcal{M}$ , it follows from definition that  $d(\mathcal{B}) \leq d(\mathcal{M})$ . Thus  $d(\mathcal{B}) = d(\mathcal{M})$ .

- (2) It is straightforward from Corollary 2.2. □

### 3. Fixed point dimensions

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group and  $V$  a unitary  $\Gamma$ -representation. We first recall a formula on  $\dim V^\Gamma$ . We use the following notations.

- $S(\Gamma)$ : the set of subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .
- $cS(\Gamma)$ : the set of conjugacy classes of subgroups in  $\Gamma$ .
- $Cy(\Gamma)$ : the set of cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ .
- $cCy(\Gamma)$ : the set of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups in  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . By [8],  $\dim V^H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in H} \chi_V(g)$ , where  $\chi_V$  is the character of  $V$ . Set  $\dim(H) = \dim V^H$  and  $f(H) = \sum_{g \in H} \chi_V(g) = |H| \dim(H)$ . Then

$$f(H) = \sum_{C \in Cy(H)} \sum_{g \in C^*} \chi_V(g),$$

where  $C^*$  is the set of generators of  $C$ . Set  $g(C) = \sum_{g \in C^*} \chi_V(g)$ . Using a similar argument as in [7], we obtain

$$(*) : f(H) = \sum_{C \in Cy(H)} \left( \sum_{C \leq D \in Cy(H)} \mu(C, D) \right) f(C),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function on the subgroup lattice  $S(H)$ . Set

$$m_H(C) = \sum_{C \leq D \in Cy(H)} \mu(C, D).$$

Clearly, if  $C, C' \in Cy(H)$  are conjugate in  $H$ , then  $m_H(C) = m_H(C')$ . The number of cyclic subgroups  $C' \in Cy(H)$  conjugate to  $C$  in  $H$  is equal to  $|H|/|N_H(C)|$ , where  $N_H(C)$  is the normalizer of  $C$  in  $H$ . Thus we obtain the following formula.

**Proposition 3.1.**

$$\dim(H) = \sum_{(C) \in cCy(H)} \frac{|C|}{|N_H(C)|} m_H(C) \dim(C).$$

*Proof.* Since  $f(H) = |H| \dim(H)$  and  $f(C) = |C| \dim(C)$ , by equation (\*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(H) &= \sum_{C \in Cy(H)} \frac{|C|}{|H|} m_H(C) \dim(C) \\ &= \sum_{(C) \in cCy(H)} \frac{|H|}{|N_H(C)|} \frac{|C|}{|H|} m_H(C) \dim(C) \\ &= \sum_{(C) \in cCy(H)} \frac{|C|}{|N_H(C)|} m_H(C) \dim(C). \end{aligned}$$

□

We here consider the cases of the octahedral group  $O$  and the icosahedral group  $I$ , which are isomorphic to  $S_4$  and  $A_5$  respectively. In these cases, the dimensions of fixed point sets are described in [2, p.260], but those results are somewhat unclear. So we provide the details in the following.

In the case of the octahedral group  $O \cong S_4$ , it is easily seen that  $S_4$  has cyclic subgroups of order 1, 2, 3 and 4. The representatives of conjugacy classes in  $cCy(S_4)$

are given by the following table. Furthermore,  $N_{S_4}(C_n) = D_n$  for  $n = 2, 3, 4$  and

	1	$C_2$	$C'_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$
generator	1	(1, 2)	(1, 3)(2, 4)	(1, 2, 3)	(1, 2, 3, 4)
order	1	2	2	3	4

$N_{S_4}(C'_2) = D_4$ . Note that  $C_2, C_3$  and  $C_4$  are maximal cyclic subgroups in  $S_4$ . Hence  $m(C_2) = m(C_3) = m(C_4) = 1$ . The cyclic subgroups including  $C'_2$  are  $C'_2$  itself and one isomorphic to  $C_4$ . Therefore  $m(C'_2) = 0$ . The numbers of cyclic subgroups of order  $n$  are given by the following table.

$ C $	1	2	3	4
#	1	9	4	3
$\mu(1, C)$	1	-1	-1	0

Thus it follows that  $m(1) = -12$ . Therefore, we obtain the following from Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.**

$$\dim(S_4) = \frac{1}{2}(-\dim(1) + \dim(C_2) + \dim(C_3) + \dim(C_4)).$$

Next, we consider the case of  $I \cong A_5$ . The argument is similar.  $A_5$  has cyclic subgroups  $C$  of order 1, 2, 3 and 5. The representatives of conjugacy classes in  $cCy(A_5)$  are given by the following table.

	1	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_5$
generator	1	(1, 3)(2, 4)	(1, 2, 3)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
order	1	2	3	5

Furthermore,  $N_{A_5}(C_n) = D_n$  for  $n = 2, 3, 5$ . Note that  $C_2, C_3$  and  $C_5$  are maximal cyclic subgroups in  $A_5$ . Hence  $m(C_2) = m(C_3) = m(C_5) = 1$ .

The numbers of cyclic subgroups of order  $n$  are given by the following table.

$ C $	1	2	3	5
#	1	15	10	6
$\mu(1, C)$	1	-1	-1	-1

Thus it follows that  $m(1) = -30$ . Therefore, we obtain the following from Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.3.**

$$\dim(A_5) = \frac{1}{2}(-\dim(1) + \dim(C_2) + \dim(C_3) + \dim(C_5)).$$

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let us recall the irreducible representations of  $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ . For each  $n \geq 0$ , there exists only one  $G$ -representation  $U_n$  of dimension  $2n + 1$  and the character  $\chi_n$  on a maximal torus  $S^1$  is given by

$$\chi_n(t) = 1 + (t + t^{-1}) + \cdots + (t^n + t^{-n})$$

for  $t \in S^1 = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| = 1\}$ , see [1].

We shall compute  $\dim U_n^H$  for  $(H) \in \mathcal{M}$ . In the case of  $H = \mathrm{O}(2)$ , we know

$$\dim U_n^{\mathrm{O}(2)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

which was shown in [3]. Thus we obtain

**Proposition 4.1** ([3]).  $d_{(G, \mathrm{O}(2))} = 1/5$ .

Let  $C$  be a finite cyclic group of  $G$ . Since maximal tori are conjugate each other, we may suppose that  $C$  is a subgroup of a fixed maximal torus  $S^1$ . Then we have

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $C = C_m$  be a cyclic subgroup of order  $m$ . Then*

$$\dim U_n^{C_m} = 1 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor,$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ .

*Proof.* It is seen that

$$\mathrm{Res}_{C_m} U_n = \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} (T_i \oplus \overline{T}_i),$$

where  $T_i$  is the 1-dimensional representation given by  $\xi_m \cdot z = \xi_m^i z$  ( $z \in T_i$ ) and  $\overline{T}_i$  is the complex conjugate of  $T_i$ . Then

$$\dim (T_i \oplus \overline{T}_i)^{C_m} = \begin{cases} 2 & m \mid i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This shows the desired result. □

**Proposition 4.3** (cf. [2, p.260]). *The following hold.*

(1)

$$\dim U_n^{\mathrm{O}} = \begin{cases} \lfloor n/12 \rfloor & n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12} \\ 1 + \lfloor n/12 \rfloor & n \equiv 0, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 \pmod{12}, \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

$$\dim U_n^I = \begin{cases} [n/30] & n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 17, 19, 23, 29 \quad (30) \\ 1 + [n/30] & n \equiv 0, 6, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 \quad (30). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Using Propositions 3.2, 3.3 and 4.2, we obtain

$$(1) \dim U_n^O = 1 - n + [n/2] + [n/3] + [n/4], \text{ and}$$

$$(2) \dim U_n^I = 1 - n + [n/2] + [n/3] + [n/5].$$

One can easily check that these coincide with the desired results.  $\square$

By Proposition 4.3, the following is straightforward.

**Proposition 4.4.** *The following hold.*

$$(1) d_{(G,O)} = 1/9.$$

$$(2) d_{(G,I)} = 1/13.$$

Propositions 4.1 and 4.4 directly show that  $d_G = 1/13$ . Therefore we obtain that  $c_G \geq 12/13$ . Thus the proof is completed.

**Corollary 4.5.** *For the unitary group  $\text{SU}(2)$ , it holds that  $c_{\text{SU}(2)} \geq 12/13$ .*

*Proof.* By a result of [5], it follows that  $c_{\text{SU}(2)} = c_{\text{SO}(3)} \geq 12/13$ .  $\square$

**Conflict of Interest.** The author has no conflicts of interest directly relevant to the content of this article.

## References

- [1] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, Representations of compact Lie groups, Graduate Texts in Math. 98, Springer 1985.
- [2] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1987.
- [3] I. Nagasaki, *Remarks on isovariant maps for representations*, RIMS Kôkyûroku **1343** (2003), 54–60.
- [4] I. Nagasaki, *A note of the weak isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Studia Humana et Naturalia **48** (2014) 55–61.
- [5] I. Nagasaki, *Estimates of the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam constants of compact Lie groups*, Acta Math. Sin. **34** (2018), 1485–1500.
- [6] I. Nagasaki, *Elementary abelian  $p$ -groups are the only finite groups with the Borsuk-Ulam property*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **21** (2019), Article 16.
- [7] I. Nagasaki and F. Ushitaki, *New examples of the Borsuk-Ulam groups*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B39** (2013) 109–119.

- [8] J. P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [9] T. Sumi, *A sufficient condition for a finite group to be a Borsuk-Ulam group*, RIMS Kôkyûroku 2098 (2018), 148–161.
- [10] T. Sumi, *Alternating groups and Borsuk-Ulam groups*, RIMS Kôkyûroku 2135 (2019), 126–140.
- [11] A. G. Wasserman, *Isovariant maps and the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, *Topology Appl.* 38 (1991) 155–161.
- [12] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*. Fifth edition. Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, TX, 1984.